

Meeting Note

File reference	EN0100001
Status	Final (Delete as appropriate)
Author	Nik Perepelov
Meeting with	Devon and Somerset Fire and Rescue Service (DSF)
Meeting date	27 September 2011
Attendees	Janet Wilson (Head of Case Management)
(IPC)	Katherine Chapman (Case Officer)
A.(/ 1	Nik Perepelov (Case Officer)
Attendees	Nick Matthews
(non IPC)	Steve Yates
Location	IPC offices, Bristol
Maating	To discuss the proposed Uinkley Daint (C' project
Meeting	To discuss the proposed Hinkley Point 'C' project
purpose	
Summary of	IPC advised on its policy of openness and transparency. Issues
key points	discussed and advice given will be recorded and placed on the
discussed	IPC's website under s.51 of the 2008 Planning Act (PA 2008).
and advice	IPC advised that, under Section 51, no advice can be given on
given	the merits of an application.
9	
	The IPC advised on several aspects of the development consent
	procedure:
	Developers are required to consult widely at the pre-application
	stage. Once an application has been submitted, there is limited
	scope for changes to be made to the application.
	Following the submission of the application, the IPC has 28
	calendar days to decide whether or not to accept the application
	for examination. If the IPC accepts the application, the developer
	is required under s56 of PA 2008 to publish a notice to that effect
	and notify certain statutory bodies. This notice will include a
	deadline for the submission of relevant representations. Relevant
	representations provide an opportunity for views and issues
	around the proposal to be communicated to the Examining
	Authority (ExA). Around three months after acceptance, a
	preliminary meeting (s.88 PA2008) will be held to finalise
	procedural matters.
	Following this, the IPC will have six months to examine the
	Following this, the IPC will have six months to examine the application. The examination will be conducted primarily through
	the submission of written representations with hearings providing
	an opportunity to test and expand upon evidence submitted in

writing. The examination will be largely inquisitorial with a presumption against the use of cross-examination (though the ExA can request cross-examination where necessary).
Once the examination has closed, the ExA will have three months to make a recommendation to the Secretary of State (subject to the passage of the Localism Bill), who will have a further three months to make a final decision on the case.
s.174 of the PA 2008 amends the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 to allow for development consent obligations (s.106) to be entered into in connection with schemes for development consent under PA2008. These are separate legal agreements between the relevant parties; therefore the IPC is unable to enter into discussion on their content at the pre-application stage. Where they relate to an application for development consent, the IPC would expect to see heads of terms agreed and included with the application The ExA is likely to set a deadline for their finalisation as part of the examination timetable and they may be subject to questions from the ExA or discussed at a hearing.

Specific	IPC to contact DSF in connection with venue arrangements for
decisions/	future meetings/hearings in connection with the application.
follow up	
required?	

Circulation	Attendees
List	IPC Hinkley Point C case team

ĺ